



Application of Poultry Litter to Pine Forests

Conclusions

Poultry litter has potential for use as a fertilizer on some forest lands. In this study, potential increases in growth may have been masked due to the effects of low stand density, moderate levels of native soil fertility, and a relatively short three year study period. No ill effects on trees were seen, even at the heaviest litter application rates. Rugged terrain and the potential for stumps to damage tires and the undercarriage of applicator vehicles are practical barriers to the application of poultry litter on forest land.

The potential for surface and ground water to be affected by improper poultry litter application to forest lands exists. This study provided indications that nitrogen from poultry litter could move to soil water. In addition, while only one stream sampler was used above and below the study area, our results showed a consistent increase in nitrogen downstream. However, even with the high rates applied in the study, the close proximity of the treatment areas to the stream, and the fact that majority of the watershed was occupied by the study area, nitrogen concentrations in stream water rose only slightly in absolute level. Before forest land managers attempt to use poultry litter on an operational basis, further research is needed to determine guidelines that take into account such factors as

- slope
- distance to streams and other surface waters
- depth to groundwater
- soil permeability

The results of this preliminary study should not be used as the basis for operational litter applications because different forest sites vary widely in soils, slopes, tree species, stand age, distance to ground or surface waters, and other factors. Further research is needed before specific application rate guidelines can be developed for the application of poultry litter to forest land.

Acknowledgments

Oklahoma Department of Agriculture - Forestry Services
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment
The Weyerhaeuser Corporation
OSU Kiamichi Forestry Research Station
OSU Department of Forestry
Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service

For further information on this study refer to:

D. J. Turton, C. Barden, L.D. Clendenen, R. Miller and D. Wilson, Poultry Litter Application to a Pine Plantation in Southeastern Oklahoma, USEPA Final Report

OSU Videotape VT-524, "Poultry Litter Application on a Pine Plantation," available in Oklahoma through county Extension offices and out of state for \$24.95 from OSU Ag Communications, 111 PIO Building, Stillwater, OK 74078-6041, (405) 744-4050.

Marley Beem
Area Extension Specialist

Donald J. Turton
Associate Professor,
Forest Hydrology

Charles J. Barden
Associate Professor and
Extension Forestry Specialist,
Kansas State University

Steven Anderson
President and CEO,
Forest History Society,
Durham, North Carolina

Poultry litter is a mixture of poultry manure, uneaten feed, and bedding material such as wood shavings or rice hulls. It contains approximately 4% nitrogen, 2% phosphorus, and 3% potassium and has long been used as a fertilizer on pastureland. In some locations, such as eastern Oklahoma, the rate of growth in the poultry industry has been rapid. There are concerns that the amount of poultry litter being produced will exceed the capacity of nearby pastures receiving litter applications and potentially effect the quality of surface or ground water.

Nitrates in drinking water can be a health hazard, especially for pregnant women and infants. Because nitrates can readily move downward through soils, care must be taken when applying nitrogen fertilizers on sites where depth to groundwater is shallow. Phosphorus is the nutrient most often responsible for excessive growth of algae in streams and lakes. This leads to problems such as bad tastes and odors in drinking water, fish kills, and lowered oxygen levels which prevent bass and many other desirable fish species from being able to reproduce and survive. The term for this excessive nutrient enrichment is eutrophication.

This publication reports on some preliminary research into the application of poultry litter to a pine plantation and attempts to answer the following questions:

Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Fact Sheets
are also available on our website at:
<http://osufacts.okstate.edu>



The high (8 tons/acre) and high (16 tons/acre) rates were applied with a basic tractor drawn manure spreader.

- What is the potential for poultry litter applications on forest land to harm surface or groundwater?
- What is the effect of poultry litter applications on pine tree growth?
- What are other practical concerns which may limit the use of poultry litter as a fertilizer on forest lands?

Study Site and Methods Used

The location for the study was near the community of Broken Bow in McCurtain County, Oklahoma. The site was an overstocked, 16-year-old loblolly pine plantation with a nearly level slope (0 to 2%) and sandy loam soils. Prior to the application of litter, the stand was thinned to 180 trees per acre. After the thinning, a severe storm blew down many trees, reducing stand density to 80 to 100 trees per acre. Five different fertilizer treatments and a control were used on randomly selected, one-third acre plots.

The chemical fertilizer treatment was similar to the fertilization conducted by some forest industries on pine plantations following thinning operations. The two and four ton poultry litter application rates were similar to the rates of application commonly used on pastureland.




After thinning equipment could be driven down the rows where trees had been removed

Credit is extended to Charles Barden, associate professor and Extension forestry specialist, Kansas State University, for providing photos.

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices, or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Robert E. Whitson, Director of Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Vice President, Dean, and Director of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 20 cents per copy. 0903



Study Suite Map

Amounts and types of fertilizer used on experimental plots.

Treatment	Fertilizer Type	tons/acre	N P K (pounds/acre)		
			N	P	K
Control	NA	0	0	0	0
Chemical	Diammonium Phosphate and Urea-N	0.25	200	50	trace
Low	Poultry litter	2	120	100	130
Medium	Poultry litter	4	230	210	250
High	Poultry litter	8	460	420	500
Very high	Poultry litter	16	930	830	1010

The following four items were measured during the study:

- **Soil water** is water contained in the spaces between soil particles. It was collected from depths of one and two feet by means of lysimeters, which allowed the application of a vacuum to a porous ceramic cup.
- **Stream water** was collected above and below the study site following rainfall events by ISCO® automatic stream samplers.



The monitoring sites were located in an intermittent stream channel. The downstream location is shown here. Water samples were automatically collected during stormflow events.

- **Foliage** samples were stripped from the last full flush of the branch in late winter of each year, placed in labeled brown paper bags, and dried at 70°C for 24 hours and shipped to the laboratory.
- **Tree dimensions** were measured for total height in feet and diameter at breast height (DBH) in inches. After the application of fertilizers, a sampling schedule was carried out as follows, over a two-year period:

Material Sampled	Frequency	Parameters Measured
Soil Water - at depths of 1-2 feet	Every significant storm	Nitrate-Nitrogen, Soluble Phosphorous
Stream Water- above and below study site	Every significant storm	Nitrate Nitrogen, Soluble Phosphorus
Foliage	Annual	Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Magnesium, Calcium
Tree	Annual	Height, Diameter (DBH)

Results

Soil water

Soil water nitrate concentrations in the high and very high poultry litter plots showed significantly higher levels than the plots which received no fertilizer. This effect lasted throughout the entire monitoring period. The medium and the chemical treatments resulted in significantly higher concentrations only through the tenth storm, approximately one year after application. The low treatment showed no statistical difference from the control treatment. Soil water phosphorus concentrations varied widely, making the statistical model invalid for most storm events. Phosphorus concentrations in the very high treatment were significantly greater than in other treatments for several storm events.



Two Lysimeters were located in each plot and soil water was collected after each significant rainfall.



Foliage samples were collected at the same time each year.

Soil Water Nutrient Concentrations - Average of 1- and 2- foot depths

	Nitrate-N	Phosphorus*
Control	0.2 ppm	0.04 ppm
Chemical	3.5	0.01
Poultry litter:		
Low	1.8	0.00
Medium	3.4	0.09
High	5.3	0.05
Very high	11.4	0.25

*Values are only from those four storm events for which the statistical model was valid

Stream water

Stream water was sampled during storm events at sites above and below the study area. In the 15 storms following fertilizer application, nitrate-N levels were significantly higher below the site than above it, but the average increase was less than 0.1 ppm. Phosphorus remained at pre-treatment concentrations.

Average Stream Water Nutrient Concentrations (ppm)

Nitrate-N		Phosphorus	
Above Site	Below Site	Above Site	Below Site
0.12	0.22	0.03	0.03

Tree measurements

Tree height increased 4.8 feet and diameter increased 2.2 inches across all plots, including the control, over three growing seasons. The only statistically significant increase in growth, over the control, occurred in tree height in the high poultry litter treatment. The lack of faster growth in fertilized treatments was attributed to the fact that initial levels of N and P in the foliage showed no nutrient deficiency. In addition, the increased growth due to the heavy thinning conducted at the start of the study may have masked the fertilization responses.

Average Tree Dimensions and Growth

Treatment (tons litter/ac)	Tree Diameter (DBH, inches)		Tree Height (feet)	
	Pretreatment	Growth '93-'96	Pretreatment	Growth '93-'96
Control	7.6	2.1	47.4	3.3
Chemical	8.6	2.3	48.3	4.8
2	7.8	2.1	50.8	5.9
4	8.1	2.3	47.6	4.0
8	8.0	2.1	47.9	6.1
16	7.8	2.3	47.9	4.5

Foliage samples

Foliage nutrient concentrations showed uptake of both nitrogen and phosphorus from all fertilized treatments. In the first two years, foliar nitrogen in both chemical fertilizer and poultry litter treatments was higher than in the control. Furthermore, in the second year, foliar nitrogen in all poultry litter treatments was higher than in the chemical fertilizer treatment, indicating that there was a continued release of nitrogen from the poultry litter. By the third year, differences between the treatments had largely disappeared. Foliar phosphorus levels in the poultry litter applications remained significantly higher than the controls throughout all three years of the study.

Average foliar nitrogen (% dry weight)

	1994	1995	1996
Control	1.28	1.21	1.16
Chemical	1.45	1.31	1.32
Poultry litter:			
Low	1.40	1.39	1.25
Medium	1.46	1.46	1.25
High	1.44	1.45	1.36
Very high	1.46	1.50	1.31

Average foliar phosphorus (% dry weight)

	1994	1995	1996
Control	0.11	0.10	0.09
Chemical	0.11	0.10	0.10
Poultry Litter:			
Low	0.12	0.12	0.10
Medium	0.13	0.12	0.11
High	0.13	0.12	0.10
Very High	0.12	0.12	0.10