Figure 6: Stoplight Chart; Probability of Different Net Incomes from Oklahoma Onion Production



Figure 7: Stoplight Chart; Probability of Different Net Incomes from **Oklahoma** Onion Production



Figure 8: Stoplight Chart; Probability of Different Net Incomes from Oklahoma Onion Production



price and contracting rate provides a harmonious situation for the producer? With these analyses, it may be intuitive that for every producer not all questions are satisfied since every producer has their own situations, willingness to accept risk, and ways of doing business. A producer has many variables to oversee, but hopefully this article will help him/her make the decision that is best for his/her operation. With research into different horticultural markets, this budget and risk analyses can continue to help enhance the future for Oklahoma producers.

References:

- Blisard, Noel et al. "America's Changing Appetite: Food Consumption and Spending to 2020." FoodReview. USDA Economic Research Service. Spring 2002, Volume 25, Issue 1, pp. 2-9.
- Doye, Damona, Kletke, Fischer and Davies. "Current Report CR-230: Oklahoma Cropland Rental Rates: 2000-01." Stillwater, OK: Oklahoma State University, 2001. 230.1-230.6.
- Lloyd, Renee M. et al. "Should I Grow Fruits and Vegetables? Identifying the Possibilities." Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Agricultural Economics Extension Fact Sheet F-180. Stillwater, Oklahoma.
- Mayberry, Keith S. and Meister. "Sample Cost to Establish and Produce Market Onions." California: University of California Cooperative Extension, 2003.
- Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service: Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Oklahoma State University. Cucurbit Integrated Crop Management. Oklahoma: Oklahoma State University, Onion Budget Information.
- Texas A & M University < http://www.jenann.tamu.edu/budgets/ district/10/2003/index.php>
- Oklahoma State University < http://www.dasnr.okstate.edu/ agmach/agmach.htm>
- Pollack, Susan L. "Consumer Demand for Fruit and Vegetables: The U.S. Example." Changing Structure of Global Food Consumption and Trade / WRS-01-1. USDA Economic Research Service, pp. 49-54.
- Richardson, James W. Simulation for Excel to Analyze Risk. Version 2003.02.28. Texas: Texas A & M University, 2003.
- Robinson, John R.C., Hailing Zang, and Stephen W. Fuller. "Weekly Price-Shipment Demand Relationships for South
 - Texas Onions." Texas: Texas A & M

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Ex-ecutive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooprestor with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Edwin L. Miller, Interim Director of Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Dean of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of \$2.69 per copy. MHG 1004

Figure 9: Contract rate and contract price associated with the zero risk of less than zero net income.

Contract Rate Contract price needed to produce a net income of at least \$0.00 or greater

-	
25%	\$34.05
50%	\$17.25
75%	\$12.25
100%	\$9.82



WWW.FAPC.OKSTATE.EDU

Oklahoma Candy Onion Production: Projected Net Income, Price Risk and Yield Risk

Bradley Wathen, Rodney Holcomb, Merritt Taylor, Jim Shrefler, John R.C. Robinson

Oklahoma producers traditionally produce forages, small grains, and cattle. As national consumption of fruits and vegetables is on the rise¹, some producers are selecting alternative enterprises that can help reduce long run income risk. With appropriate planning and market analysis, Oklahoma producers may evaluate their options of producing, promoting, and profiting from alternative enterprises such as onion farming.

Insight into the process of Oklahoma onion production will A Southeast Oklahoma producer has worked with Dr. Jim Shrefler, Oklahoma State University Extension a Horticulturbe useful in further understanding the economic analysis conist; Dr. Merritt Taylor, Director of the Lane Agricultural Center; tained herein. Onions are sensitive to temperature and day length. Oklahoma variety trials at the Wes Watkins Research Center/Lane and USDA-ARS scientists at Lane to evaluate the potential for Oklahoma onion production. Dr. Rodney Holcomb, Oklahoma Ag-Center in Lane, Oklahoma² use short, intermediate, and long State University, Food & Agricultural Products Center Food day varieties. In trial studies, intermediate day onions do well in Southeast Oklahoma conditions³. The intermediate day Candy Economist, and Bradley Wathen, Oklahoma State University, Agricultural Economics Extension, have worked in conjunction onion variety has been, up to this point, the most promising onion for production and marketing purposes in Oklahoma. The Candy with the production testing to evaluate specific budgeting and variety is, just as the name sounds, a sweet-tasting onion. The marketing options. Large-scale onion production does not usually take place sweetness of an onion and other product attributes may be valuable marketing tools for Oklahoma producers.

in Oklahoma, but according to USDA. data, a large amount of onion production for U.S. markets usually occurs in California, Texas, and Mexico. Three major onion production areas in the United States are Texas, California, and Arizona, Oklahoma's neighbor to the south poses the most competition for an Oklahoma producer. In the spring of 2003, Texas marketed about 352 million pounds of onions. Can Oklahoma producers be profitable with such a high volume entering the market from the south, and should farmers consider niche markets such as famous Vidalia onions grown in Georgia? A promotional program such as the establish their own niche.

In Southeast Oklahoma, horticulturists suggest it may not be advantageous to plant on a large scale earlier than March. "Made in Oklahoma" program and finding suitable cultivars, the Weather and soil conditions do not lend themselves to early plant-Candy onion variety for example, may help Oklahoma producers ing. For this reason, short-day onions, which work well in home gardens, are not acceptable for large-scale plantings and do not reach their production potential. Aside from this, major challeng-Onion production is one of many alternative enterprises for es in Southeast Oklahoma onion production studies include weed Oklahoma producers. This article will address budgeting and marketing issues so that Oklahoma producers can use the inforcontrol and foliar disease control. Oklahoma State University mation to make better production decisions should they decide to extension publications are available for helpful information about foliar disease and insect control⁵. enter onion production. Awareness of basic onion production and

- ³ Onion Production in Oklahoma F-2120
- ⁴ Oklahoma State University Extension Fact sheet (#6000) "Fertilizing Commercial Vegetables."
- ⁵ Cucurbit Integrated Crop Management an Oklahoma State University Extension Publication (E-853, page 30).

FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER

FOOD TECHNOLOGY **RESEARCH REPORT**

OKLAHOMA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION ' OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY

marketing, production budgeting, and marketing risk analyses will help producers advance their knowledge of risks involved with the variables of onion production. Increased knowledge of these few key issues will help producers more properly position themselves, should they decide to enter a market.

Production

In an area where predominantly forages, small grains, and cattle are in production, one Oklahoma producer applies conventional row crop and peanut farming machinery to produce onions. In 2003, the producer allotted 10 acres to start an onion production operation for trial purposes. Soil must be tested and then fertilized prior to planting⁴. Transplanting onions in Oklahoma typically occurs during the second and third week of March.

¹ For more information about fruit and vegetable consumer demand, read "Consumer Demand for Fruit and Vegetables: The U.S. Example" (Susan L. Pollack) and

[&]quot;America's Changing Appetite: Food Consumption and Spending to 2020" (Noel Blisard et. al.).

² The United States Department of Agriculture and Oklahoma State University jointly operate the Lane Ag-Center:

Water application and timing is important when harvesting in Oklahoma. Production studies prove that when onions do not receive an optimal amount of water, they will not vield to their fullest potential. On the other hand, when onions are watered too much they will sometimes split rendering them useless for fresh markets. Onions, if transplanted in March, should be ready for harvest in June. Once harvest and drying has occurred, onions are graded and packed. Some producers may decide not to grade their onions, which will reduce harvest and marketing costs. The Oklahoma producer referred to earlier in this study does not grade the product and likes to use cardboard boxes that can hold 25 pounds for packaging purposes. Packaging may vary depending on marketing intentions and other variables; however, this study assumes a common 50-pound package size.

In this case the harvested onions are presumably going to market as a fresh product. Therefore, the onions must be in transport as quickly as possible, usually within two weeks of harvest. Transporting onions to market this quickly cuts down on spoilage loss, therefore, helping minimize a decrease in revenue. Marketing the onions as a fresh product is only one option. The producer's intuition, knowledge of the industry, and willingness to use the mind's eye are key factors in choosing market and marketing strategy.

Markets and Marketing Market

"Texas spring onions, which claim 22 percent of the total fresh vegetable value of production in Texas, are by far the largest share of any vegetable crop" (Robinson). Harvesting in late June or July is important because southern region producers with short-day onions will enter the market usually in March through June. "USDA-AMS shipping data between 1990 and 2001 show that an average of 8 percent of the Texas onion crop is marketed during March, 55 percent in April, and the balance is in May and June" (Robinson). "Mexico imports occupy 39 percent and Texas holds 47 percent of U.S. fresh market share in April. In May and early June, 60 percent of the U.S. fresh market is supplied by California, Arizona, and New Mexico, with Texas accounting for 28 percent" (Robinson).

Robinson's analysis of the Texas onion market resulted in the discovery that a \$1 per 50-pound price change in last week's onion price results in a \$0.74 per 50-pound price change in the current week in the same direction, but moderate across multiple weeks of the shipping season. His results indicate that for every 100 truckloads of onions shipped from Mexico and South Texas during the marketing window, onion price declines by \$0.108 and \$0.105 per 50 pounds, respectively (Robinson). The total number of truckloads going to market in Texas in 2002 consisted of 6,138 in March, 7,857 in April, and 8,903 in May and June. Therefore, if 100 additional truckloads ship during a week in the market window, the producer in this study would experience an \$18 decrease in total revenue per acre, using the expected yield in the onion budget (Figure 2).

Marketing

An Oklahoma producer can sell onions through direct or non-direct marketing means⁶. Concentrating efforts to non-direct marketing activities allows the cooperating producer in this study to sell most or all production at will. Dallas, Texas is the closest

and possibly the most feasible regional market for the cooperating Oklahoma producer to market onions. This market is set up like any small town farmer's market only much larger. Due to possible decreased supply from southern region market suppliers late in the Texas shipping season, Oklahoma onion producers have the possibility of taking and selling all the onions they produce to the Dallas regional market. Having the ability to sell large quantities at once without spending the time that direct marketing efforts require makes non-direct marketing an option worth consideration for producers entering onion production.

Selling in direct markets can restrict a seller in that he/she cannot accurately predict sales volume. Using the direct market option, the producer will usually sell directly to a supermarket or grocery store in the area. The direct option can be beneficial in regards to product price for both the producer and buyer. The farmer is the producer and wholesaler. This affords the farmer an opportunity to receive a higher price at the cost of increased marketing expense for production. Achieving increased final product value is possible if the producer can create a niche market for his/her onion products. Niche markets can be created by generating either real or perceived value for the onion product, i.e. homegrown, super-sweet, Made In Oklahoma, etc. and adding real value by means of processing.

Whether selling through direct or non-direct markets, contracting production can provide further risk management. When contracting, the buyer and seller may wish to set price and/or quantity of product through a legally binding contract with a wholesale broker, supermarket, restaurant, etc. A contract may require a producer to deliver a product that he/she does not have in the event of a crop failure. Therefore, the producer may have to buy product and then resell it to the entity involved in the contract. Under contract, the producer will know he/she has limited sales risk for at least a portion of his/her crop. The risk analysis section of this paper intends to capture the risk aversion affects of contracting production.

Onion Budget

Producers should seek knowledge of what they have to risk in new production practices. The onion budget is a method of seeking this information. After reviewing budgets from Southern California, Florida, and Texas A&M, it was determined that a budget specific to Oklahoma was needed. The cooperation of an Oklahoma producer facilitated the creation of an Oklahoma onion budget. The Oklahoma onion budget will help current and future Oklahoma onion producers analyze their farm's potential to make a profit. A budget provides the opportunity to estimate expected revenue, expense, and returns to the farm and management over the full growing season before incurring any expense. This onion budget contains two important elements: the operational cost (Figure 1) and summary of revenue and expense (Figures 2, 3, and 4).

Operational Cost

The operational cost worksheet (Figure 1) in the budget has many aspects. All costs are on a per acre basis.

- 1. Machinery Cost Formulations
 - a. Formulas that calculate the cost of using a tractor and its equipment per hour that will then be converted to a cost per acre

⁶ Consult the Oklahoma State University Extension Fact Sheets F-180 through F-186 for more insight into direct and non-direct marketing options.

the land may be rent or some other form of farming production. In this case, the onion budget contains a component called cash rent/forgone income.

The Oklahoma producer in this study owns the land on analysis with Simetar allows an owner/operator to make a more which he/she is producing onions. Therefore, the producer is completely informed decision. This analysis allows the owner/ foregoing some alternative form of income in order to produce operator to see risk that can possibly occur from using different onions. Damona Dove et al. in an Oklahoma State University marketing methods for Oklahoma onions. The basic marketing Extension Current Report (CR-230) "Oklahoma Cropland Rental Rates: 2000-01" stated that cash rent for irrigated cropland is percent open market sales, 50 percent contracted sales, and 100 about \$26 per acre in Southeast Oklahoma. The cooperating percent contracted sales. Testing these marketing options at four Oklahoma producer says that it is a safe assumption to say that price levels helps one to properly understand income and risk he/she could produce another crop and make at least \$100 per levels. acre in the long run. This assumption enables opportunity cost in When performing the mean incomes analysis, it was deterthe budget to be set at \$100 per acre. Appropriate representation mined that an owner/operator would be indifferent at the price of opportunity cost is now in the model. Thus, a net income of \$10.35 per 50 pounds. Indifference means that there would be no \$0 per acre establishing a lower bound in the stoplight analysis is difference in a decision between different contract rates. When acceptable. A lower bound of zero does not represent an accountcomparing the mean incomes, we are 1) using historical data and ing value of a zero net income. Rather, if the Simetar analysis in-2) assuming the long run. The long run in this case entails 400 dicates to us that net income is more than zero, the producer will simulated seasons of producing onions during which a producer, know that he/she is making a return more than could have been at a contract price of \$10.35 per 50 pounds, could make an avermade when choosing an alternate or next best income generating age annual return of about \$192 per acre per year when selling activity. Conversely, if the Simetar analysis indicates net income 100 percent on the open market; other possibilities are in Figure is less than zero, the producer should make the decision based on 5 net income to produce the next best production alternative. On The stoplight chart allows a better understanding of actualthe other hand, arbitrarily setting the upper bound at \$1,000 per ity, actuality being a possible outcome on a year-to-year basis acre allows the analysis to show a somewhat reasonable upper rather than 400 seasons. Under the cost conditions in the budget, bound on net income.

The result of the stoplight analysis has three colors. The three of \$10.35 per 50 pounds (Figure 7) and a 100 percent contract colors, appropriately fitting, are red, yellow, and green. Red will rate allows the owner/operator to avoid 100 percent of the risk represent a chance that net income can fall below \$0 per acre. of making less than zero net income. Contracting at a price of Yellow represents the possibility that net income falls in between \$10.35 per 50 pounds, the owner/operator has decreased price the predetermined upper and lower bounds of income (\$0 and \$1000). Green will represent the possibility that net income is no chance of making more than \$311 per acre per year. Less risk greater than \$1000 per acre. The stoplight charts are viewable in may be present at a contract price of \$10.35, but if contracting Figures 6-8. cannot be arranged at a price at or above \$10.35, according to historical data, the producer will receive more net income in the

Figure 5:



P-1005

P-1005

Summarv

A producer must take into account the risk involved with yield and price when making any production decisions. Budget strategies used in this study are 100 percent open market sales, 50

it was determined through stoplight analysis that a contract price risk and has no chance of making a return less than zero, but also

> open market in the long run. Viewing figures 6–9 and pondering other crucial inferences through the net incomes and zero income risk analyses may be especially useful for decision making in production and marketing risk reduction. Contract-

ing provides an obvious avoidance of risk, but at what level of

cates that, on average, medium yellow onion prices were close to \$10.34 per 50 pounds, and the variance indicates that, in this case, prices vary by a large amount. Large variance creates more risk for a producer wanting to sell in the open market. Knowing this information helped in determining that a normal distribution should be used for onion price. The normal distribution for the onion budget was set with a mean of \$10.34 and a standard deviation of \$3.52.

After having found the appropriate distributions for the random variables, simulation could begin. An iteration test indicates that 400 iterations should be used when conducting these Simetar analyses. The objective of simulating 400 iterations is to determine the risk involved with open market sales versus contracting sales during 400 seasons.

Initially, contract rates and contract prices are set. There were three different contract rates used for each of the four different contract prices, thus 12 scenarios in all. Several inferences are possible when reviewing the output of the mean net incomes per acre analysis.

The mean income projections table indicates that contracting at any price below the indifference price of \$10.35 per 50 pounds will generate, in the long run, less income than if selling in the

Figure 4: Excel Onion Production Workbook, Summary spreadsheet, Costs project	ction ⁸
--	--------------------

<u>ltem</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Price USD	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Total USD</u>
Direct Expense				
Fertilizer				
Fertilizer 9-23-30	Cwt	15.00	4.00	60.00
Fertilizer 46-0-0	Cwt	14.95	2.00	29.90
Fungicide				
Bravo Ultrex	Qt	32.95	1.00	32.95
Herbicide				
Prowl	Pt	2.75	1.00	2.75
Goal 2XL	Qt	28.00	1.00	28.00
Insecticide/Miticide				
Ammo	Lb	11.95	1.00	11.95
Irrigation Supplies				
Water	Ac-ft	10.00	1.50	15.00
Seed/Plants				
Plants	Unit	100.00	2.00	200.00
Harvest and Marketing				
Harvest Onions	50 lb. Box	0.75	145	108.42
Drying Onions	50 lb. Box	0.20	145	28.91
Boxes	50 lb. Box	1.20	145	173.47
Pack Onions	hours per box	7.00	0.20	202.38
Delivery	Mile	0.40	397.54	159.02
Operator Labor				
Tractor	Hour	7.00		
Hand Labor				
Implements	Hour	7.00		
Irrigation Labor				
Irrigation setup	Hour	7.00		
Labor Flood	Hour	7.00		
Unallocated Labor	Hour	7.00	0.15	1.05
Interest on capital	Acre	77.75	1	77.75
Total Direct Expense				1,232.57
Returns Above Direct Expenses	1			1,241.57
Fixed Expenses				
Equipment		34.07	1.00	34.07
Tractors		33.06	1.00	33.06
Total Fixed Expense				67.13
Total Direct and Fixed Expense	•			1,299.70
Returns Above Total Direct and Fixe	ed Expense	•		1,174.44
Allocated Cost Items				
Cash Rent and/or Foregone Income		100.00	1.00	100
Total Expense				1,399.70
Net Income				1,074.44

⁸ The budget was derived with the help and guidance of Dr. Taylor, Dr. Holcomb, Oklahoma State University scientists, a Southeast Oklahoma producer, and examples from Texas A & M.

open market. The indifference price is \$10.35 per 50 pounds rent contract omes all conce of \$10.35. A ave long run e to participate ket if he/she tract price Conversely, come incenproduction at \$10.35. That ome or residual as the conprice larger 50 pounds as contract price pounds and ounds. These strated how cting can be to bear in mind orgone when less than the orice.

risk of differes and prices is rough a Simetar tool called a stoplight analysis. With this analysis, net income level is set to the user's specification. These net income regions will vary with each producers required level of return to the farm and management. When setting acceptable and non-acceptable regions, a producer must use careful forethought.

An Oklahoma producer could opt for other means of income generation with the land area that he/she uses for onions. The producer could lease out his/her land, produce another crop, or consider a non-agricultural use. The most likely alternative income from

- 2. Production process and operating inputs
 - a. Machinery and other production inputs
- 3. Size per unit and tractor size
- a. Unit measure
- 4. Efficiency Rate
 - a. Efficiency rate of a tractor and equipment, hr/acre
- 5. Times over
 - a. Number of times a particular application is ap-
 - plied over a complete acre
- 6. Month
 - a. The month that the application is applied
- 7. Tractor and Equipment Cost
 - a. Variable and Fixed
 - i. Variable cost is a level of specific current production cost that varies with the level of output or input.
 - ii. Fixed cost is a specific form of production cost that does not vary with the level of output or input.
 - b. Cost per hour from the machinery cost formulations are adjusted to a per acre cost when multiplied by the hours per acre coefficient.
- 8. Operating Input Cost
 - a. Amount of operating input (i.e. fertilizer) multiplied by the price of that input equals the cost of that operating input.
- 9. Total Cost
 - a. Summation of all cost within a row for any given input.
- 10. Total Specified Cost

a. Summation of the column of total costs. The operational cost worksheet contains two main compo-

nents: the machinery cost formulations and the cost estimations for the production process.

Machinery cost is the cost that occurs on the farm when using farm machinery. The machinery cost formulations closely The three out-of-state budgets used conventional onion represent the actual cost incurred during the production process production practices. Conventional onion production practice reon the 10-acre onion test plot of the cooperating Oklahoma proquires less land use but more human capital than practices used in ducer. The cost of the production process includes the machinery this analysis. The national average yield is about 40,000 pounds cost and other direct inputs such as labor, fertilizer, and water. of onions per acre. Potential Oklahoma production of 15,000 to Harvest and marketing cost are dependent on yield, which in-18,000 pounds per acre is possible with the correct variety and clude harvesting and drying of the onions and purchasing boxes, the same production method as used by the cooperating farmer⁷. packing, and delivery of the onions, respectively. Oklahoma State University scientists indicate that the cooperat-Notable assumptions for the onion budget are: ing producer's plant spacing within each row of onions is larger • Continuity than needed. In 2003, the cooperating producer's yield was o The production budget assumes that all produc-8,285 pounds per acre. Manipulating the expected yield of 8,285 tion resources and activities are continuous. pounds per acre in the onion budget (Summary Sheet, Figure 2) Homogeneity returns a representation of yield as dependent on historic values. o All units of resources and output are assumed Yield has a significant affect on revenue. Revenue is the total identical dollar amount of sales in a specific period subtracted from total • Each machine is depreciated over 10 years costs. The onion production budget assumes two ways of creating o Depreciation per year = revenue: non-direct sales and non-direct sales by way of contract (purchase price-investment credit-salvage agreements. A producer will experience price risk when less than value) / (Years of expected life for the machine) 100 percent of the onions sold do not have a predetermined price. x 0.85 An example of budget revenue per acre appears in Figure 3. Yield not only affects revenue, but also harvest expense.

- Input prices are fixed.

P-1005

• List price of the machinery is assumed to be an average of major national distributors.

⁷ For more information about onion production methods contact: Wes Watkins Agriculture Research Center and Extension Center.

nary s	preadsheet, Cos	sts projection	ı°	
	Price USD	Quantity	Total USD	because at differ
				rates, mean inco
				verge at the price
	15.00	4.00	60.00	producer may ha
	14.95	2.00	29.90	income incentive
				in the open mark
	32.95	1.00	32.95	cannot set a cont
				above \$10.35. C
	2.75	1.00	2.75	there is some inc
	28.00	1.00	28.00	tive to contract p
				any price above
	11.95	1.00	11.95	is. long run inco
				return increases
	10.00	1.50	15.00	tract price is any
	100.00			than \$10.35 per
	100.00	2.00	200.00	shown with the a
	0.75	4.45	100.40	of $\$1250$ per 50
	0.75	145	108.42	\$22.00 per 50 pc
	0.20	145	28.91	s22.00 per 50 pe
	1.20	145	173.47	results have mus
XOX	7.00	207.54	202.38	valuable contrac
	0.40	397.34	159.02	reduce risk, but
	7.00			that income is fo
	7.00			contract price is
	7.00	-		current market p
	7.00			Visualizing
	7.00			ent contract rates
	7.00			also possible thr
	7.00			tool colled a stor

- Machinery housing cost is assumed to be 68 cents per square foot per year needed.
 - o Housing per year = price per square foot x square feet shelter required
- All units are assumed to be diesel powered.
- Lubrication cost are 5 percent of fuel cost. •
- Interest on operating capital was not included in the formulas so that each owner/operator may adjust this value for his/her own application.
- Production is assumed to be sold at a fresh market for human consumption or, another option, as animal feed.
- All production is assumed to be first sold on a fresh vegetable market where the onions will be most valuable, then sold to other enterprises.
 - o Other enterprises may use onions as an animal feed. A 1-pound onion will provide 170 kcal of energy and 3.6 grams of protein.
 - Onions contain n-propyl disulfide and will 0 cause hemolysis (red blood cell destruction) when fed in excess. Cattle are the most susceptible species to onion toxicity. Onions are toxic to some animals at a ratio of 1 ounce per 3.42 kilograms or less of body weight. A 120-pound animal could be intoxicated by a 1-pound onion.
 - In certain rare cases, a producer may choose 0 to pay someone to remove product (spoilage) from his/her possession. In this case, a producer would incur a negative price for production.
 - The Simetar simulations do not account for a 0 negative price. The actual Simetar simulation distribution has a mean of \$10.34, standard deviation of \$3.51, and minimum and maximum of \$0.29 and \$21.13, respectively.

Summary of Revenue and Expense

When yield is higher than expected, variable expense is increased and vice versa (Figure 4, Harvest and Marketing). A per acre

3

				000		Tractor	Cost	Eauipmei	nt Cost	Allocate	ed Labor	Oper	atina Inpu	t	
Production Process and Operating Inputs	Size per Unit	Tractor Size	Efficiency Rate	Times	Month	Variable	Fixed	Variable	Fixed	Hours	Cost	Amount	Price	Cost	Total Cost
)	dh d	hr/acre	5		Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars		Dollars		Dollars	Dollar	US Dollars
Chisel Plow	12'	135	0.11	1.0	9.0	1.2	1.6	1.4	3.4	0.1	0.8				\$8.4
Heavy Disk	14'	135	0.10	1.0	9.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	2.2	0.1	0.7				\$6.5
Heavy Disk	14'	135	0.10	1.0	9.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	2.2	0.1	0.7				\$6.5
Disk Bed (Hipper)	6R-40	190	0.11	1.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	2.7	3.4	0.1	0.8				\$10.5
Fert App.	6R-40	55	0.19	1.0	3.0	0.8	1.4	0.5	0.6	0.2	1.3				\$4.7
fert 9-23-30	Cwt				3.0							4.0	15.0	60.0	\$60.0
Transplanter	6R-40	55	1.50	1.0	3.0	6.3	11.3	11.1	9.5	1.5	10.5				\$48.6
Plants	Unit											2.0	100.0	200.0	\$200.0
Spray(18 Row)	27'	80	0.08	1.0	3.0	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.1	0.6				\$4.1
Prowl	Pt											0.5	2.8	1.4	\$1.4
Ditcher	standard	135	0.02	1.0	3.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1				\$1.0
Labor (Irr. Setup)	hour									0.1	0.7	0.1			\$0.7
Labor (Flood)	hour			1.0	3.0					1.0	7.0	1.0			\$7.0
Irr. Water	ac-ft											0.5	10.0	5.0	\$5.0
Early Cultivation	3R-80	135	0.08	1.0	4.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.6	0.1	0.6				\$5.3
Fert App.	6R-40	55	0.19	1.0	4.0	0.5	1.4	0.5	0.6	0.2	1.3				\$4.4
fert 46-0-0	Cwt				4.0							2.0	15.0	29.9	\$29.9
Spray(18 Row)	27'	80	0.08	2.0	4.0	1.2	1.7	1.8	2.4	0.1	0.6				\$7.6
Prowl	Ъţ											0.5	2.8	1.4	\$1.4
Goal (2XL)	ğ											0.5	28.0	14.0	\$14.0
Ditcher	standard	135	0.02	1.0	4.0	0.2	4.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1				\$4.6
Labor (Irr. Setup)	hour									0.1	0.7	0.1			\$0.7
Labor (Flood)	hour			1.0	11.0					1.0	7.0	1.0			\$7.0
Irr. Water	ac-ft											0.4	10.0	4.0	\$4.0
Spray(18 Row)	27	80	0.08	1.0	11.0	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.1	0.6				\$4.1
Labor (Flood)	hour			1.0	4.0					1.0	7.0	1.0			\$7.0
Irr. Water	ac-ft											0.5	10.0	5.0	\$5.0
Spray(18 Row)	27'	80	0.08	1.0	5.0	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.1	0.6				\$4.1
Goal (2XL)	ğ											0.5	28.0	14.0	\$14.0
Spray(18 Row)	27	80	0.08	2.0	5.0	1.2	1.7	1.8	2.4	0.1	0.6				\$7.6
Ammo	Lb											1.0	12.0	12.0	\$12.0
Bravo Ultrex	ğ											1.0	33.0	33.0	\$33.0
Cult and Post (Late)	6R-40	135	0.08	1.0	5.0	1.1	. .	0.9	1.6	0.1	0.6				\$5.3
Ditcher	standard	135	0.02	1.0	5.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1				\$1.0
Labor (Irr. Setup)	hour									0.1	0.7	0.1			\$0.7
Labor (Flood)	hour			1.0	5.0					1.0	7.0	1.0			\$7.0
Irr. Water	ac-ft											0.5	10.0	5.0	\$5.0
Harvest Onions	Box			1.0	6.0							144.6	0.8	108.4	\$108.4
Drying Onions	Box			1.0	6.0							144.6	0.2	28.9	\$28.9
Boxes	Box			1.0	6.0							144.6	1.2	173.5	\$173.5
Pack and Count Onions	hr/box			1.0	6.0					0.2		144.6	7.0	202.4	\$202.4
Delivery	miles			1.0	6.0							397.5	0.4	159.0	\$159.0
Totals						19.2	33.1	27.3	34.1	7.4	50.5			1,056.	\$1,220.90

yield increase will increase labor time used for harvest, drying, and packing the onions. It should be obvious that additional/ fewer box purchases will fluctuate with yield; however, it may not be so evident that delivery cost will increase as well. Delivery cost may increase as yield increases depending on transport me ods devoted to onions.

The expense/income summary (Figure 4) provides a quick glance at input use and total cost of direct inputs related to onic production. All costs and revenues are on a per acre basis and o not include any returns to operator management. Cost and revenue can change with scale or size of operation. When reviewing the numbers in the example budget (Figures 1-4), keep in mind that this budget uses a program called Simetar, and the output in the "examples" may not represent a likely outcome. Simetar uses distributions based on historical data or other inferences to adjust specified values and analyze risk. Therefore, the static nature of the budget in this manuscript (Figure 1-4) is not as robust as it is when we do an actual Simetar analysis (Figures 6-9).

The GRK distribution, if just a few years of data are avail-**Risk Analysis** able, could be used. The Simetar GRK distribution was devel-In financial terms, risk is a chance that returns on an actual oped by Gray, Richardson, and Klose (Richardson, Simetar). This investment is not what is expected. In these analyses, the foundadistribution was developed for subjective probability distributions tion of risk is related to the uncertainty of input prices from day with little input data. A user can input into Simetar three data to day, output prices from day to day, and yield from season to points: minimum, median, and maximum. A few properties of the season. On a day-to-day basis, producers in Oklahoma are faced GRK distribution are: with business decisions that will affect their return in the long • 50 percent of the simulated observations are less than run. mid point.

"The fact that farmers have to make decisions simply implies • 95 percent of the simulated observations are between that they are faced with alternatives. The alternative actions could minimum and maximum. be different combinations of crops to produce, alternative produc-• 2.2 percent of the simulated observation are less than the tion systems for crops or livestock, or different marketing or minimum. financial strategies for an agribusiness. If the decisions are to be The GRK may be a more appropriate distribution than a made in a risk free setting, the manager can easily determine the uniform distribution for yield data, however without enough data best strategy... the one with the greatest economic return. When using the seemingly more appropriate distribution is not justified. decisions are to be made in a risky environment, the manager It may be obvious that the minimum would be zero, but how do cannot use such a simple rule because the economic return for we choose a median and maximum data point? For this reason, a each alternative is a distribution of returns rather than a single more simple distribution was chosen - a uniform distribution. value" (James W. Richardson, Creator of Simetar). A uniform distribution allows all simulations to have an

Figure 2: Excel, Su Yield projection and o	mmary spreadsheet contract rate entry
Yield	Expected Yield
7,228	8,285
Pounds per box	
50	
Boxes per/acre	
144.56	
Contract Rate	
50.00%	

Dr. Richardson helped in developing a program to measure risk called Simetar. With Simetar, instead of using fixed variables that give a deterministic outcome to a model, variables that have

Figure 3: Excel Onion Production Workbook, Summar

	on ((einseen,))	sinning spread	smoot, no remate p	rejeenens
Item	Unit	Price USD	Quantity	Total USD
Revenue				
Candy Sweet Yellow Onion	50 lb. Box	12.23	72.28	883.98
Contracted Candy Sweet	50 lb. box	22.00	72.28	1,590.16
Total Revenue				2,474.14

a known distribution and make a model stochastic are preferred. Making a model stochastic will allow the output of the model to be much more robust when compared to a deterministic model. The variables used to make this model stochastic are:

•• j				
eth-	1		Yield	
			a.	Remember in the model that yield differences
2				will directly affect the budget cost of inputs and
on				quantity of output.
do	2	2.	Price of	output

In this case, these variables have either a historical or a perceived distribution thus allowing the entry of that distribution for the respective variable into the onion production budget. Historical data is preferred; however, there is not enough historical data for production of Oklahoma onions. Since historical data was not available to make a normal distribution of onion production in Oklahoma, it was necessary to seek out a distribution that will appropriately represent probable outcomes without a large number of data points.

equal chance at choosing any data point within a predetermined minimum and maximum. It was assumed that yield will most likely vary by 10 to 15 percent from the current year's yield. However, in the long run, production practices will change and the Oklahoma producer will begin to plant the variety that creates the most return for his/her business and each variety may have yield variations. The chosen expected yield was 8,285 pounds per acre based on one producer's 2003 yield. For now, the higher bound of the yield distribution is 10 percent more than 8,285 and the lower bound for yield is 15 percent less than 8,285. This sets the minimum and maximum bounds at 7,042.25 and 9,113.50 pounds of onion production per acre, respectively.

Texas and Mexico medium yellow onion price data for the years of 1999-2003 were gathered. The onion mean price of

y spreadsheet, ne venue projections

\$10.34 has a variance of 12.42. The onion price has a minimum and maximum of \$6.75 and \$22.00 per 50 pounds, respectively. A mean price indi-